Physics. - "Investigation by means of X-rays of the crystalstructure of sodium-chlorate and sodium-bromate". Communication $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} .5$ from the Laboratory of Physics and Physical Chemistry of the Veterinary College at Utrecht. By N. H. Kol.kmeijer, J. M. Bijvoet and A. Karsslin. (Communicated on behalf of Prof. W. H. Keesom, Director of the Laboratory, by Prof. Kamerlingh Onnes).

## (Communicated at the meeting of May 29, 1920).

§ 1. Introduction. For biological science every deepening of our insight into the nature of the chemical bindings of the element carbon, so important for the organic world, will be of great value. In connexion with the investigation of the structure of the modifications of the element carbon itself this point has already been in discussion ${ }^{2}$ ). Also the close connexion between the atoms of the group $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ that has been stated in calcite ${ }^{2}$ ) forms an important datum for the purpose. Therefore we originally intended to investigate the crystalstructure of other carbon-compounds. Sodium-carbonate and sodiumbydrocarbonate first came into consideration because of their importance for animal life. Considering however that we could expect to meet with great difficulties in these investigations especially in the calculations as a consequence of the crystal-water resp. the monoclinic cerstal-system, we first investigated some substances with analogous structure, for which these difficulties were not to be expected. We chose sodium-chlorate and sodium-bromate both crystallizing in the cubic system. We also hoped that these substances might give us some indications on the remaining together of the atoms of the acid-radical.
\$ 2. Present knowledge on $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NaBrO}_{8}$. In P . Groth's Chemical Crystallography, the crystalforms are described into which $\mathrm{NaClO}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{NaBrO}_{3}$ can crystallize under different circumstances. When crystallized from solutions in water these substances give at

[^0]roomtemperature cubic tetartohedral forms. Both of the chlorate and of the bromate two enantiomorphous forms occur. In connexion with this phenomenon the crystals themselves show rotation of the plane of polarisation for every direction of the rays, while the solutions are non-active. The polar ternary axes are electric axes. With regard to these properties we thought it desirable to determine the structure of these crystals.
Beckenkamp ${ }^{1}$ ) investigated already this structure theoretically; his conclusions were however not confirmed by our investigations.
W. H. and W. L. Bragg ${ }^{2}$ ) simply mention, that in the crystals of sodium-chlorate the places of the sodium- and Cl -atoms differ very little from those in sodium-chloride. From the following it will be evident that on the whole we agree with this opinion.
Jagerer and Haga ${ }^{3}$ ) took Laue-photograms of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{3}$; they did not derive details on the structure from them.
§ 3. The apparatus used. This was equal to that, described in a preceding communication ${ }^{4}$ ). This time the finely powdered substance was divided as equally as possible by means of a little collodion on a glass rod (diameter $\pm 0,1 \mathrm{~mm}$.) in a layer less than $0,5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The rod was fixed to the lid of the camera; by means of this lid it could be turned about its length axis during the exposure in order to avoid the scratches on the interference fringes caused by greater crystal-particles, which hinders the determination of the intensities ${ }^{5}$. Because of the small depth of the layer the correction for the thickness of the rod, given in Communication $\mathrm{N}^{0} .2^{6}$ ), was now much smaller than it was then. The glass core of the rod gave no difficulties.
§4. Calculation of the crystal-structure. In the tables I and II are to be found for the chlorate resp. the bromate in the columns

[^1]TABLE I.

| Distances in 0.1 mm and estimated intensities | $10^{3} \sin ^{2} \frac{9}{2}$ <br> (corrected) | $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{K}_{\alpha_{1}}}$-radiation |  |  | $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{K}_{\beta}}$-radiation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Sigma h^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103 \sin ^{2} \frac{2}{2} \\ \text { (calculated) } \end{gathered}$ | $h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}$ | $\Sigma h^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} \sin ^{2} \frac{9}{2} \\ \text { (calculated) } \end{gathered}$ | $h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}$ |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. |
| 90 f | 26 | 2 | 27 | 110 |  |  |  |
| 114 f | 41 | 3 | 41 | 111 |  |  |  |
| 121 vf | 47 |  |  |  | 4 | 45 | 200 |
| 132 s | 56 | 4 | 55 | 200 | 5 | 56 | 210 |
| 147 vs | 69 | 5 | 69 | 210 | 6 | 67 | 211 |
| 164 m | 83 | 6 | 82 | 211 |  |  |  |
| 199 m | 125 | 9 | 124 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}221 \\ 300\end{array}\right.$ | 11 | 124 | 311 |
| 211 vf | 139 | 10 | 138 | 310 |  |  |  |
| 221 f | 152 | 11 | 151 | 311 | 14 | 157 | 321 |
| 241 vf | 180 | 13 | 179 | 320 |  |  |  |
| 250 vs | 193 | 14 | 193 | 321 |  |  |  |
| 278 f | 234 | 17 | 234 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}322 \\ 410\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| 296 vf | 262 | 19 | 261 | 331 |  |  |  |
| 312 f | 288 | 21 | 289 | 421 | 26 | 292 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}431 \\ .510\end{array}\right.$ |
| 353 m | 358 | 26 | 358 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}431 \\ 510\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |

1 the distance from the central part of the image on the film to the interference fringes, expressed in $0,1 \mathrm{~mm}$. and the estimated intensities. In the columns 2 are given the values of $10^{3} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}$ calculated from these data. In the ordinary way the numbers, referring to $\beta$-lines, have been separated. In accordance with the cubic crystal-form of both substances it was then found that the values of $10^{8} \cdot \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}$ of 3,79 and for the bromate $A_{\alpha}=13,16$. The columns $3,5,6$, and 8 contain derived from these the indices triplets (resp. the sums of their squares) of the lines; the columns 4 and 7 the values of $10^{8} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}$ calculated with the mentioned values of $A$. From the obtained values of $A_{\alpha}$ we find, in connexion with the molecular weights, the densities (resp. 2,496 and 3,254), the number of Avogadro $6,062.10^{28}$ ) and the wave-length of the $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{K}_{\alpha_{1}}}$-radiation $\left(1,537.10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ for the number of molecules per elementary cell resp. 3,98 and 3,93 . This number is therefore for both $4^{1}$ ). This gives for the edge of the elementary-cell $6,55.10^{-8}$ and $6,74.10^{-8}$ for chlorate and bromate resp.
Then we investigated which grouping of these 4 Na -, 4 Cl - resp. Br- and 12 Oparticles in the cell fulfils the symmetry demands that can be derived from the crystal-forms (viz. three binary axes, four polar ternary axes, rotation of the plane of polarisation). The model obtained in the following way fulfils these demands (see fig. 1)
Divide the cell into 8 cubes, draw in four of them that have only edges in common a cross-diagonal so that they do not intersect. Place on one of the diagonals arbitrarily a sodium- and a halogen-particle. The places of the other sodium- and halogen-particles are then found directly by means of the ternary axes.

Place one oxygen-particle arbitrarily, the places of the other ones follow then again.

The described model cannot cover its mirror-image (see fig. 2) which is in agreement with the optical activity.
For the calculation of the places of the atoms we chose as para meters, one of the three equal rectangular coordinates of one of the sodium particles a (expressed in the side of the cell as unit), one of the three equal coordinates of one of the halogen particles

[^2]$\frac{1}{2}-b$ and the three coordinates $p, q$ and $r$ of one of the oxygen particles. With the values $a=\frac{1}{12}, b=\frac{1}{12}, p=\frac{1}{2}, q=\frac{5}{12}, r=\frac{11}{36}$ we found intensities of the lines which suffice both for the chlorate and for the bromate, as is shown by the tables III and IV. The possible


Fig. 2.

| Planes | INTENSITY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed | Calculated |  |  |  |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
| 100 | $?$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | f | 137 | 146 | 55 | 5 |
| 111 | f | 47 | 70 | 22 | 4 |
| 200 | $\mathrm{s}^{1}$ ) | 80 | 95 | 57 | 32 |
| 210 | vs | 395 | 444 | 252 | 123 |
| 211 | m | 114 | 139 | 80 | 44 |
| 220 | - | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 3 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 221 \\ 300 \end{array}\right\}$ | m | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 54 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\} \quad 54$ | $\left.{ }_{0}^{70}\right\} .70$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c}37 \\ 0\end{array}\right\} 37$ | $\left.\mid c c_{24}\right\}$ |
| 310 | vf | 5 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| 311 | $\mathrm{f}^{2}$ ) | 18 | 22 | 13 | 9 |
| 222 | - | 8 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| 320 | vf | 12 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| 321 | vs | 147 | 194 | 115 | 73 |
| 400 | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 322 \\ 410 \end{array}\right\}$ | f | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 41 \end{array}\right\} \quad 53$ | $\left.{ }_{62}^{6}\right\} \quad 68$ | $\left.{ }_{35}^{4}\right\}$ 39 | $\left.{ }_{26}^{1}\right\} 27$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 330 \\ 411 \end{array}\right\}$ | - | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 5 \end{array}\right\} \quad 25$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}13 \\ 13\end{array}\right\} 26$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}7 \\ 7\end{array}\right\} \quad 14$ | $\left.{ }_{9}^{1}\right\} 10$ |
| 331 | vf | 36 | 47 | 24 | 23 |
| 420 | - | 31 | 26 | 15 | 6 |
| 421 | $\mathrm{f}^{3}$ ) | 34 | 22 | 12 | 11 |
| 332 | - | 29 | 19 | 10 | 1 |
| 422 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 430 \\ 500 \end{array}\right\}$ | - | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 6 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\} \quad 6$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 5 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\}$ | $\left.{ }_{0}^{2}\right\} \quad 2$ | $\left.{ }_{0}^{0}\right\} \quad 0$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 431 \\ 510 \end{array}\right\}$ | m | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 27 \\ 13 \end{array}\right\} \quad 40$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 36 \\ 5 \end{array}\right\} 41$ | $\left.{\underset{4}{24}}_{4}\right\} \quad 28$ | $\left.{ }^{26}\right\} \quad 27$ |

${ }^{1}$ ) at the same time $\beta$-line of 210 vs. ${ }^{2}$ ) at the same time $\beta$-line of 321 vs. ${ }^{3}$ ) at the same time $\beta$-line of 431 m .

651
$\mathrm{NaBrO} \mathrm{O}_{3}$

| Planes | INTENSITY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed | Calculated |  |  |  |
|  |  | A | B | C | D |
| 100 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | fm | 376 | 391 | 228 | 97 |
| 111 | vf | 216 | 261 | 155 | 88 |
| 200 | fm') | 179 | 197 | 143 | 100 |
| 210 | vs | 864 | 881 | 643 | 409 |
| 211 | f | 288 | 324 | 228 | 159 |
| 220 | - | 3 | 9 | 9 | 16 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 221 \\ 300 \end{array}\right\}$ | vf | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 149 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\} 149$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 179 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\} 179$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 107 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\} 107$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c}97 \\ 0\end{array}\right\} 97$ |
| 310 | - | 53 | 91 | 54 | 46 |
| 311 | $\mathrm{f}^{2}$ ) | 41 | 50 | 37 | 32 |
| 222 | - | 23 | 32 | 19 | 16 |
| 320 | - | 36 | 61 | 32 | 33 |
| 321 | vs | 393 | 471 | 338 | 260 |
| 400 | - | 11 | 19 | 15 | 1 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 322 \\ 410 \end{array}\right\}$ | vf | $\left.{ }_{136}^{16}\right\} 152$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c}21 \\ 172\end{array}\right\} 193$ | $\left.{ }_{125}^{12}\right\} 137$ | \left.${\underset{102}{11}}_{3}\right\} 113$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}330 \\ 411\end{array}\right\}$ | - | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 20 \\ 33 \end{array}\right\} 53$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 13 \\ 52 \end{array}\right\} 65$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 39 \end{array}\right\} 46$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 42 \end{array}\right\} 43$ |
| 331 | vf | 92 | 115 | 85 | 73 |
| 420 | - | 46 | 43 | 30 | 19 |
| 421 | $\mathrm{f}^{9}$ ) | 43 | 33. | 43 | 59 |
| 332 | - | 29 | 19 | 10 | 1 |
| 422 | vf | 30 | 50 | 30 | 25 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 430 \\ 500 \end{array}\right\}$ | - | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\} 19$ | $\left.{ }_{0}^{32}\right\} 32$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\} 19$ | $\left.{ }_{0}^{17}\right\} 17$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} 431 \\ 510 \end{array}\right\}$ | s | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 78 \\ 8 \end{array}\right\} 86$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 144 \\ 10 \end{array}\right\} 154$ | $\left.{ }_{6}^{96}\right\} 102$ | $\left.{\underset{6}{112}}_{6}^{6}\right\} 118$ |

[^3]error in the value of $b$ for the bromate is about 0,01 of the celledge, the accuracy of the other parameters is much smaller ${ }^{1}$ ).
In the calculation of the intensities we have taken into consideration besides the structure factor ${ }^{2}$ ) only the number of planes and the Lorentz-factor. We thus have neglected the absorption in the rod, the temperature-factor and the polarisation-factor. This is allowed, when only we compare the intensities of very close neighbouring interference fringes.
Starting from the assumption that the $X$-rays are deflected for the greater part by the electrons, we calculated the intensities under the following simplifying assumptions.
Round the sodium point we place 10 electrons (monovalent positive ion ${ }^{8}$ ); the weakening of the coöperation by mutual interference is neglected. Round the halogen particles we place in the cases $A, B$, $C$, and $D$ of the columns $3,4,5$, and 6 of the tables III and IV respectively $12,18,12$, and 10 electrons for the chlorate and $30,36,30$, and 28 electrons for the bromate, while again the same neglections were made; in the same way for an oxygen particle resp. 10,8 , 6 , and 2 electrons. All this is based upon the following suppositions:
in case A: pentavalent positive halogen-ions and bivalent negative oxygen atoms;
in case B: monovalent negative halogen-ions and oxygen-atoms;
in case C : binding of oxygen in the halogenate-ion by a ring of four circulating electrons, of which two are derived from the oxygenatom and two from the halogen-atom. The interference-effect by these binding-electrons has been neglected;
in case D : binding between balogen and oxygen, where the effect due to the total outer electron rings, to which the binding electrons belong, has been neglected.

From the tables III and IV we see that the agreement between the calculated and observed intensities is good from which of these suppositions we may start.

[^4]From the values found for the parameters it is evident that each time three oxygen-particles are lying close round each halogenparticle; the plane of the three oxygen-particles perpendicular to a ternary axis contains, approximately at least, the halogen-particle. The distance between the centres of a halogen- and one of those neighbouring oxygen-particles is about $\frac{1}{7}$ of the parameter of the lattice ${ }^{1}$ ). The situation of the groups $\mathrm{Na}+$ and $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}-$ resp. $\mathrm{BrO}_{3}$ - can be found from the NaCl -model by diminishing the distance between the opposite ions all by about $\frac{1}{6}$ of their value.

Finally we wish to express our indebtedness to Prof. Keesom for his kindness to place his laboratory at our disposal for this investigation and for his great interest and help.


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ ) (Note, added during translation). Quanlitatively these values are not in accord ance with the data of Bragg (Phil. Mag. (6) 40 (1920) p. 169. The distances of the centres of an 0 . and a Cl-atom or a Br-atom, which, according to Braga's data ought to be $1.70 .10^{-8}$ and $1.84 \cdot 10^{-8}$ respectively are found by us as $0.910 \cdot 10^{-8}$ and $0.937 \cdot 10^{-8}$ respectively This discrepancy is not astonishing, seeing 1 that in note 1) of the preceding page some reserve is made about the accuracy of the parameters for the 0 particles; 2 that Braga expects a shortening of the distance in discussion in radicals in which there is strong binding.

We thought it desirable however, to investigate this point nearer, with this am new photos will be taken and discussed by two of us (B. and Ka). The intensities of the lines with the smallest radii especially, lying in a dark part of the film, can perhaps be determined more accurately, when an antikathode is used, which gives a larger wavelength and when the radius of the camera is


 enlarged.
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