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Astronomy. -- "On the perioulic solutions of a special case of the problem of four bodies". By Prof. W. me Sitter. (Communicated by Prof. E. F. van de Sande Bakhuyzen).

The special case considered in this paper is that of a central body and three planets, or satellites, whose masses are small compared witl the mass of the central body, and whose orbits are all situated in one and the same plane, the mean motions (in longitude) being roughly proportional to the numbers 4,2 and 1 . This special case is realised in nature by the three inner Galilean satellites of Jupiter, if the inclinations, the influence of the sun and of the fourth satellite, and the compression of the planet are neglected. This latter restriction is not essential, since the compression does not disturb the periodicity, provided only the motions take place exclusively in the plane of the planet's equator.

Neglecting at first the relation between the mean motions, we will consider the periodic solutions of the problem thus generalized for the case that the masses of the satellites are zero, i.e. for the unperturbed problem. These may be divided into two kinds, analogous to Potncarés well known classification of the periodic solution of the problem of three bodies. In the solutions of the first kind (sorte premiere of Poincaré) the (unperturbed) orbits of the satellites are circles, in those of the second kind they are Keplerian ellipses with arbitrary excentricities.

The solutions of the first kind exist, if the differences of the mean motions are commensurable, thus:

$$
\nu_{1}-v_{3}=p v, \quad v_{2}-v_{3}=q v
$$

$p$ and $q$ being integers, mutually prime. This condition can also be expressed by saying that the mean motions must satisfy a linear equation of the form

$$
\alpha v_{1}+\beta v_{2}+\gamma \nu_{3}=0
$$

where $a, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are mutually prime whole numbers, satisfying the relation

$$
\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0 .
$$

The mear motions can then be expressed thus:

$$
v_{1}=c_{1} v-x \quad v_{2}=c_{2} v-x \quad v_{8}=c_{3} v-x^{\prime}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are again whole numbers. We have then:

$$
\alpha=c_{2}-c_{3} p=c_{1}-c_{3} \quad \beta=c_{3}-c_{1} \quad q \doteq c_{2}-c_{3} \quad \gamma=c_{1}-c_{2}
$$

Then, if we put

$$
v t=\tau \quad x t=v
$$

and if we count the time from the instant of a conjunction of II and III, and the longitudes from the common longitude of these satellites for that instant, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}=c_{\mathrm{s}} \tau-v \\
\lambda_{1}=c_{2} \tau-v \\
\lambda_{1}=c_{1} \tau-v+K \\
\alpha \lambda_{1}+\beta \lambda_{2}+\gamma \lambda_{\mathrm{B}}=K .
\end{gathered}
$$

After the lapse of the period

$$
T=\frac{2 \pi}{v}
$$

the relative positions of the four bodies are the same as for the instant $t=0$, the whole system being rotated in a retrograde direction through the angle $x T$.

By a reasoning entirely similar to that used by Poincare ${ }^{1}$ ) for the solutions of the first kind of the problem of three bodies, we find easily that the condition, that these solutions shall reman periodic if the masses have small finite values, is

$$
K=0^{\circ} \text { or } 180^{\circ}
$$

In other words, there must be a symmetrical conjunction or opposition of the three satellites at the beginning of the period. ${ }^{2}$ )

The reasoning by which the existence of these solutions for small values of the masses is proved, fails in only one case, viz. when

$$
\frac{\ddot{x}}{v}=0 \text { or a whole number. }
$$

This exceptional case is analogous to the well known exceptional case for the periodic solutions of the first kind of the problem of three bodies.

For the special case of Jupiter's satellites we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=1, \beta=-3, \gamma=2, K=180^{\circ} \\
\lambda_{1}=4 \tau-v+180^{\circ} \\
-\lambda_{2}=2 \tau-v \\
\lambda_{3}=\tau-v \\
\tau=\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{8} \quad v=\lambda_{2}-2 \lambda_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the system of Jupiter we find that $v$ is small compared with $\tau$. We have roughly (in degrees per day):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=51^{\circ} .0571 \\
& x=0.7395
\end{aligned}
$$

1) Les méthodes nowvelles de la mécanique céleste, tome $1, \S 40$.
${ }^{2}$ ) See also Les méthodes nouvelles, t. I §50.

It is owing to this particular circumstance, that the motion of the satellites can also be considered as a periodic solution of the second kind, as will now be shown.

In the periodic solutions of the seéond kind of the unperturbed problem the excentricities are arbitrary, and the mean motions (not only their differences) are mutually commensurable. In other words we have here $x=0$.

If the masses are not zero, these solutions may also remain periodic. In the perturbed motion we must then distinguish the mean motions in longitude and those in anomaly. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{i} & =n_{t} t+l_{i o} \text { be the mean anomaly } \\
\lambda_{i} & =\boldsymbol{v}_{i} t+\lambda_{i}, \eta, \quad \text { longitude },
\end{aligned}
$$

if then $\pi_{i}$ be the longitude of the pericentre, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{2}=l_{\imath}+\pi_{i} \\
\nu_{i}=n_{i}+\frac{d \pi_{2}}{d t} \quad n_{i}=c_{2} v
\end{gathered}
$$

Inquiring into the conditions that these solutions shall remain periodic for small finite values of the masses, we find again that there must be a symmetrical conjunction at the beginning of the period, i. e. for $t=0$. The angles

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\pi}_{10}-\boldsymbol{\pi}_{20} \\
l_{20} \\
l_{20} \\
\boldsymbol{\pi}_{20}-\boldsymbol{\pi}_{30} \\
l_{30}
\end{gathered}
$$

must all be $0^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$. One of the angles $l_{l o}$ (e.g. $l_{30}$ ) can always be made identically zero (or $180^{\circ}$ ) by a convenient choice of the zero epoch. There thus remain 4 angles, each of which can have one of two values. We have thus 10 combinations which may $a$ priori be expected to give rise to periodic solutions.
Now if $\frac{d \pi_{i}}{d t}$ were zero, then at the end of the period $T=\frac{2 \pi}{v}$ the configuration for $t=0$ would be exactly restored, as it is in the unperturbed problem. It is, however, sufficient to insure the periodicity of the solution, that the value of $\frac{d \pi_{2}}{d t}$ integrated over a complete period shall be the same for the three satellites. In addition to the conditions of symmetry we have therefore the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \frac{d \pi_{1}}{d t} d t=\int_{0}^{7} \frac{d \pi_{3}}{d t} d t=\int_{0}^{7} \frac{d \pi_{s}}{d t} d t=-x T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

After the completion of the period the whole system is then rotated through the angle $-x T$, as in the solutions of the first kind.

The mean motions in longitude are the same as in the solutions of the first kind, viz.:

$$
v_{i}=c_{\imath} v-x,
$$

The mean motions in anomaly remain rigorously commensurable. ${ }^{1}$ )
I will now restrict the discussion to the special case represented in the system of Jupiter, viz:

$$
c_{1}=4 \quad, \quad c_{2}=2 \quad, \quad c_{3}=1
$$

For the general case similar results will be found, which I do not however at present propose to investigate.

Moreover I will limit myself to the consideration of small excentricities, which is the only case that is of immediate practical value. Whether the conditions (1) do also admit solutions with large excentricities, is a question which can only be answered by a special investigation.

Under these restrictions we find that out of the 16 combinations satisfying the conditions of symmetry, there are only 4 which also satisfy the conditions (1). For two of these $x$ is positive, and for the two others it is negative. Further, if the quantity

$$
\lambda_{1}-3 \lambda_{2}+2 \lambda_{s}=K
$$

is formed for each of these solutions, it will be found that one of the solutions with a positive $x$ has $K=0^{\circ}$ and the other has $K=180^{\circ}$, and similarly for the solutions with a negative $x$. Of these four solutions that with $K=180^{\circ}$ and $x$ positive (the case of nature) is the only stable one.

These solutions of the second kind thus appear, on both sides of the exceptional point $x=0$, as the natural continuations of the two possible solutions of the first kind ( $K=0^{\circ}$ and $K=180^{\circ}$ ). In the solutions of the first kind the unperturbed orbit is circular, the perturbed orbit is affected by a "great inequality", with the argument $c_{2}$ r. In the solutions of the second kind this inequality appears as an equation of the centre ${ }^{2}$ ). In the solutions of the first kind we have the condition that the unperturbed excentricity must be zero; corresponding to this the excentricities in the solutions of the second

[^0]kind are not arbitrary, but must be determined from the equations (1). When the value of $\alpha$ is the same for both cases, the two solutions are entirely equivalent.
In order now to investigate these solutions according to the theory of Poincare, we must write down the conditions of periodicity
$$
\psi_{i}=\int_{0}^{T} \frac{d E_{l}}{d t} d t=0
$$
where for $E_{i}$ we must take successively each of the elements of the system. If further $\beta_{l}$ be the small correction to be applied to the value of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ (for $t=0$ ) in the unperturbed orbit, in order to retain the periodicity in the perturbed orbit, then the stability of the solution depends on the roots of the equation
\[

\Delta(s)=\left|$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \beta_{1}}+1 \cdots s & \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \beta_{2}} \cdots \cdots \cdot \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial \beta_{n}}  \tag{2}\\
\frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial \beta_{1}} & \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial \beta_{2}}+1 \cdots s \cdots \cdot \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial \beta_{n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial \beta_{1}} & \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial \beta_{2}} \cdots \cdots \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial \beta_{n}}+1-s
\end{array}
$$\right|=0 ···
\]

If we put $s=e^{\alpha T}$ (or, approximately, $1-s=-\alpha T$ ), then the condition that the orbit shall be stable, is that all the values of $a^{2}$ are real and negative (with the exception of one or more, which may be identically zero).

I will introduce the elements

$$
L_{i}, \quad \Pi_{i}, \quad l_{2}, \quad \pi_{i},
$$

of which the meaning is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{i} & =m_{l} \vee a_{i} \quad \quad \Pi_{i}=L_{l} \vee 1-e_{2}^{2} \\
l_{2} & =\text { mean anomaly } \\
\pi_{i} & =\text { longitude of pericentre. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Supposing the units to be so chosen that the constant of Gauss and the mass of the central body are unity, the equations of motion are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d L_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{d F^{\prime}}{\partial l_{i}} \quad \frac{d \Pi_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial \pi_{i}} \\
\frac{d l_{i}}{d t}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial L_{i}} \quad \frac{d x_{2}}{d t}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial \Pi_{2}{ }^{2}} \\
F=F_{0}-R \\
F_{0}=-\frac{m_{1}{ }^{3}}{2 L_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{m_{2}{ }^{3}}{2 L_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{m_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{3}}{2 L_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## ( $688^{5}$ )

In the unperturbed motion we have

$$
l_{i}=n_{l} t+l_{i 0}, \quad n_{\imath}=\frac{m_{l}{ }^{3}}{L_{\imath}^{3}}=\frac{1}{a_{i}^{3}{ }^{3},},
$$

and the constants $a_{i}$ must be such, that

$$
n_{1}=4 \boldsymbol{v} \quad n_{2} \rightleftharpoons 2 \boldsymbol{v} \quad n_{\mathrm{z}}=\boldsymbol{v}
$$

The integral of areas is

$$
\Phi=\Pi_{1}+\Pi_{2}+\Pi_{8}=\text { const. }
$$

By means of this integral we can eliminate $\Pi_{3}$, and diminish the number of degrees of freedom by one. For this purpose we introduce

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1}=\Pi_{1} & G_{2}=\Pi_{2} \\
g_{1}=\pi_{1}-\pi_{3} & g_{2}=\pi_{2}-\pi_{3}
\end{array}
$$

The equations then preserve the canonic form. ${ }^{1}$ )
In forming the equations $\psi_{2}=0$ we need only those terms of $R$, whose integral over a complete period does not vanish, i.e. those in whose arguments the mean anomalies do either not occur, or occur only in the combinations

$$
l=l_{1}-2 l_{2} \quad l^{\prime}=l_{2}-2 l_{3}
$$

of which the mean motions are zero. The constant term will not be required in what follows. Of the others, we only require the terms of the lowest degree in the excentricities. Thus, introducing the further notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1}-\pi_{2}=g_{1}-g_{2}=\omega \\
& \pi_{2}-\pi_{2}=g_{2}=\omega^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

we find that $R$ can be replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{\prime}=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{a_{2}}\left\{-A \varepsilon_{1} \cos (l+2 \omega)+B \varepsilon_{2} \cos (l+\omega)\right\}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{m_{\mathrm{a}} m_{\mathrm{s}}}{a_{3}}\left\{-A \varepsilon_{2} \cos \left(l^{\prime}+2 \omega^{\prime}\right)+B \varepsilon_{3} \cos \left(l^{\prime}+\omega^{\prime}\right)\right\} \quad . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=a^{\prime}\left(4 A^{(2)}+A_{1}^{(2)}\right) \\
& B=a^{\prime}\left(3 A A^{(1)}+A_{1}^{(1)}-\frac{2}{\overline{V a a^{\prime}}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

The symbols $A_{\mu}^{(2)}$ have the usual meaning (Iavizrier, Annales de Paris, tome l, p. 260, 262), and must be computed for the value

[^1]$$
\frac{a}{a^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}\right)^{2 / 3}=\left(\frac{n_{3}}{n_{2}}\right)^{2 / 3}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / 3}=0.630
$$

The coefficients $A$ and $B$ then are pure numbers. Their values are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=+2.381 \\
& B=+0.964
\end{aligned}
$$

The meaning of the symbols $\varepsilon_{i}$ occurring in.$R^{\prime}$ is

$$
\varepsilon_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{\overline{L_{i}-\Pi_{l}}}{2 L_{i}}}=\sin \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{i},
$$

or approximately

$$
\varepsilon_{i}=\frac{1}{2} e_{i}
$$

The expressions of the various differential coefficients of $R^{\prime}$ are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial L_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{a_{2}}}{m_{i}} \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial a_{i}}+\frac{\cos \varphi_{i}}{4 m_{2} V / a_{i}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}} \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \varepsilon_{i}} \\
\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{i}}=\frac{1}{4 m_{2} \sqrt{a_{i}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}} \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \varepsilon_{i}} \\
\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial G_{1}}=\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{1}}-\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{2}} \quad \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial G_{2}^{\prime}}=\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{2}}-\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{d l_{2}}=\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{d l} \quad \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial l}=-2 \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{d l}+\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial l_{2}^{\prime}} \quad \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial l_{3}}=-2 \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial l^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial g_{2}}=\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{d \omega} \quad \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial g_{2}}=-\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \omega}+\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \omega^{\prime}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The quantities $\beta_{l}$ and $\psi_{i}$ will be supposed to be correlated to the different elements as follows:

To: $\quad L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{5}, G_{1}, G_{3}, l_{1}, l_{3}, l_{3}, g_{1}, g_{3}$
correspond: $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{5}, \beta_{4}, \beta_{5}, \beta_{5}, \beta_{7}, \beta_{8}, \beta_{5}, \beta_{10}$
and: $\quad \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}, \psi_{4}, \psi_{5}, \psi_{0}, \psi_{i}, \psi_{8}, \psi_{9}, \psi_{10}$.
If in $R^{\prime}$ and its differential coefficients the elements are replaced by their unperturbed values, these funtions become constant. Consequently the first terms of the developments of the functions $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i}$ in powers of the masses are of the form

$$
\int_{0}^{T} D d t=T \cdot D
$$

where $D$ represents a differential coefficient of $R^{1}$ in which, after the differentiation, the unperturbed values of the elements have been substituted.

Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L_{i}}{d t}=\frac{\partial R_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial l_{i}} \quad, \quad \frac{d G_{i}}{d t}=\frac{\partial R_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial g_{i}} . \tag{689}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}, \psi_{4}$ and $\psi_{5}$ therefore contain only sines of linear combinations of the angles $l, l^{\prime}, \omega, \omega^{\prime}$. Further we have

$$
4 \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial l_{1}}+2 \frac{\partial R}{\partial l_{2}}+\frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial l_{\mathrm{s}}}=0
$$

and therefore the equation $\psi_{1}=0$ is a necessary consequence of $\psi_{2}=0$ and $\psi_{8}=0$. The four remaining mutually independent equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2}=\psi_{s}=\psi_{4}=\psi_{5}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

correspond to what has in the beginning of this paper been called the "conditions of symmetry". If we put

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
l_{0}=\left(l_{1}-2 l_{2}\right)_{0}=\alpha & l_{0}^{\prime}=\left(l_{2}-2 l_{8}\right)_{0}=\alpha^{\prime} \\
\omega_{0}=\left(\pi_{1}-\pi_{2}\right)_{0}=\beta & \omega_{0}^{\prime}=\left(\pi_{2}-\pi_{8}\right)_{0}=\beta^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

(the subscript 0 indicating the unperturbed value, or the average value over a complete period in the periodic solution), then the equations (4) are satisfied, if each of the angles

$$
\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta, \beta^{\prime}
$$

is either $0^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}$.
These conditions being satisfied, we can, in the differential coefficients of $R^{\prime}$ (after the differentation) replace the angles $l, l, \omega, \omega^{\prime}$ by $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta, \beta^{\prime}$.
'The developments of the functions $\psi_{1}, \ldots \psi_{5}$ in powers of $\beta_{i}$ are

$$
\psi_{1}=T\left\{\beta_{6} \frac{\partial^{2} R^{\prime}}{\partial l_{2}{ }^{2}}+\beta_{7} \frac{\partial^{3} R^{\prime}}{\partial l_{1} \partial l_{2}}+\ldots+\beta_{10} \frac{\partial^{3} R^{\prime}}{\partial l_{1} \partial g_{2}}\right\}+\begin{gathered}
\text { terms of } \\
\text { higher orders }
\end{gathered}
$$ and similar formulae for $\psi_{2}, \psi_{3}, \psi_{4}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{5}$. Then we find easily

$$
\psi_{0}=T \beta_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{0}}{\partial L_{1}^{2}}+\text { terms of higher order }
$$

and similarly $\psi_{7}$ and $\psi_{8}$. These equations give $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=0$, in other words the mean motions in anomaly $\left(n_{i}\right)$ are not changed. Finally we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{\mathrm{s}}=-\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial G_{1}} d t=-T \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{1}}+T \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}} \\
\psi_{10}=-T \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{\mathrm{a}}}+T^{T} \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{\mathrm{s}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The equations $\psi_{B}=\psi_{10}=0$ are thus found to represent the conditions ( $\mathbf{1}$ ), since

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \frac{d x_{2}}{d t} d t=-T^{\prime} \frac{\partial R^{\prime}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{i}}
$$

From the value ( 3 ) of $R^{\prime}$ we find easily (remembering that $a_{i} \sim 3 / 2=n_{1}=c_{i} v\left(c_{1}=4, c_{2}=2, c_{\mathrm{s}}=1\right)$ and $\left.\nu T=2 \pi\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{d \pi_{1}}{d t} d t=-m_{2} \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}} \frac{A}{\varepsilon_{1}} \cos \alpha, \\
\Omega_{3}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{d \pi_{1}}{d t} d t=\frac{1}{2}\left\{m_{1} \frac{B}{\varepsilon_{3}} \cos (\alpha+\beta)-m_{3} \frac{a_{3}}{a_{3}} \frac{A}{\varepsilon_{3}} \cos \alpha^{\prime}\right\}, \\
\Omega_{3}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{d \pi_{3}}{d t} d t=\frac{1}{4} m_{2} \frac{B}{\varepsilon_{3}} \cos \left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The conditions (1) can thus be written

$$
\Omega_{1}=\Omega_{2}=\Omega_{3}=-\frac{\kappa T}{2 \pi}=-\frac{x}{v}
$$

Collecting now the 16 different possible combinations of values


of $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$, we find the following summary. Only those combinations can give rise to periodic solutions, in which $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{s}$ are of the same sign. The letter $O$ stands for undetermined.

Out of these 16 combinations there are only two, (6) and (16), for which the perturbed orbit can reman periadic for all values of the masses. There are four: (2), (7), (12) and (13), for which the perrocicity is only possible if a certan condition involving the masses is satisfied.

For all solutions we find from the equation $\psi_{9}=0$

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{9}}=4 \frac{a_{1} A}{a_{2}} \frac{A}{B}=6.225
$$

It needs hardly be pointer out, that this is only a rough approximation, the higher order's of $\varepsilon_{i}$ having been neglected. In the system of Jupiter's satellites we find actually (see these Proceedings, March $\left.1909^{1}\right)$ ) $\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}=6.77$.

Further if we put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}=\mu_{1} \quad \frac{m_{\mathrm{s}}}{m_{2}}=\mu_{\mathrm{s}} \\
P=\mu_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}} A+\mu_{1} B,
\end{gathered}
$$

then we find, for the solutions (6) and (16), from $\psi_{10}=0$

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{3}}=2 \frac{P}{B} \quad \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} \frac{P}{A} .
$$

If the longitudes are counted from the apocentre of III, and the time from a passage of III through this apocentre, we have, for $t=0, \pi_{3}=180^{\circ}, l_{3}=180^{\circ}$, therefore $\lambda_{3}=0^{\circ}$. For the corresponding values for II and I we find, for $t=0$, for solution (6)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\pi_{2} & =0^{\circ} & \pi_{1} & =180^{\circ} \\
l_{2} & =0 & l_{1} & =0 \\
\lambda_{2} & =0 & \lambda_{1} & =180 \\
K & =\lambda_{1}-3 \lambda_{2}+2 \lambda_{3} & =180^{\circ}
\end{array}
$$

and $x$ is positive the mean motion in anomaly exceeds the mean motion in longitude. This is the case of nature.

For the solution (16) we find:

| $\pi_{2}$ | $=0^{\circ}$ | $\pi_{1}=180^{\circ}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $l_{2}$ | $=180$ | $l_{1}=180$ |
| $\lambda_{3}$ | $=180$ | $\lambda_{1}=0$ |
| $K$ | $=180^{\circ}$ | $-x$ negative. |

[^2]The possibility of the solutions (2), (7), (12) and (13) depends on the sign of $\Omega_{2}$. In all these cases $\cos (\alpha+\beta)$ and $\cos a^{\prime}$ are of the same sign. Thus if we put

$$
Q=\mu_{\mathrm{a}} \frac{a_{\mathrm{g}}}{a_{\mathrm{s}}} A-\bar{\mu}_{1} B,
$$

we find that for positive values of $Q$ the solutions (2) and (12) can exist, (7) and (13) being impossible; for negative values of $Q$ (2) and (12) become impossible, but (7) and (13) are possible. We find for these solutions:

| Solution (2) | Solution (12) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\pi_{2}=0^{\circ} \quad \pi_{1}=0^{\circ}$ | $\pi_{2}=1^{\circ} \quad \pi_{1}=0^{\circ}$ |
| $l_{2}=0 \quad l_{1}=0$ | $l_{2}=180 \quad l_{1}=180$ |
| $\lambda_{3}=0{ }^{*} \quad \lambda_{1}=0$ | $\lambda_{2}=180 \quad \lambda_{1}=180$ |
| \% positive. | $\%$ negative. |
| Solution (7) | Solution (13) |
| $\pi_{2}=180^{\circ} \quad \pi_{1}=0^{\circ}$ | $\pi_{2}=180^{\circ} \quad \pi_{1}=0^{\circ}$ |
| $l_{2}=180 \quad l_{1}=0$ | $l_{2}=0 \quad l_{1}=180$ |
| $\lambda_{1}=0 \quad \lambda_{1}=0$ | $\lambda_{2}=180 \quad \lambda_{1}=180$ |
| $x$ positive. | $x$ negative. |

All four solutions have $K=0^{\circ}$.
For the solutions (2) and (12) we find

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{3}}{\varepsilon_{3}}=2 \frac{Q}{B} \quad \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} \frac{Q}{A}
$$

and for (7) and (13)

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{3}}=-2 \frac{Q}{B} \quad \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{a_{z}}{a_{1}} \frac{Q}{A} .
$$

For $Q=0$ (or, if higher orders of $\varepsilon_{2}$ are taken into account, for: a value of $\frac{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{3}}$ in the neighbourhood of the value for which $Q=0$ ) we have $\varepsilon_{2}=0$. The solutions (2) and (7) then become identical, and similarly (12) and (13). We thus find that the two cases (2) and (7) form together one continuous family, which exists for all values of $\frac{m_{1}}{m_{\mathrm{s}}}$. The same thing is true of (12) and (13).
Thus all that has been said above regarding the existence of the periodic solutions has now been proved. It remains to investigate their stability. For that purpose we must form the equation (2). We introduce the notations:

$$
\frac{1-s}{T V m_{2}}=-\varrho, \quad \frac{1}{m_{2}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} R^{\prime}}{\partial x \partial y}=(x y), \quad m_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} R^{\prime}}{\partial \rho \partial q}=[p q],
$$

where $x$ and $y$ represent two of the variables $l_{l}, g_{c}$ and $p$ and $q$ two of the variables $L_{1}, G_{1}$. The quantities $\left(x_{i} y\right)$ are of the order zero in the masses, the quantities $[p q]$ are of the first order.
With the aid of the values of $\psi_{i}$, which bave been derived above, it is now easy to write down the determinant $\Delta(s)$. The differential coefficients such as $\frac{\partial \psi_{a}}{\partial \beta_{1}}=\frac{\partial^{2} F_{0}}{\partial L_{1}{ }^{2}}$ will have $m_{2}$ in the denominator. To remove this, and to make all terms of the same type also of the sanne order in the masses, the five lower rows have been multiplied by $m_{2}$. Then the five upper rows, have been divided by $V m_{2}$, and the last five columns by $m_{2} / m_{2}$. Finally every term has been divided by $T$. The equation then becomes

For brevity-we have put

$$
K_{1}=-\frac{3}{\mu_{1} a_{1}{ }^{2}}, \quad K_{2}=-\frac{3}{a_{2}{ }^{2}}, \quad K_{8}=-\frac{3}{\mu_{1} a_{3}{ }^{2}} .
$$

To simplify the determinant (5), we may use the relation, which has already been mentioned atove,

$$
4\left(l_{1} x\right)+2\left(l_{\mathrm{z}} x\right)+\left(l_{\mathrm{s}} x\right)=0,
$$

where $x$ represents an arbitrary element. We perform the following operations, which are here, in order to save space, only indicated (the ordinary figures refer to the columns, the roman figures to the rows) :

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { To (8) add } 4 .(6)+2 .(7, & \text { From }(V I) & \text { subtract } 4 .(V I I I) \\
& " & (V I I) & " \\
\hline,(V I I I), \\
"(I I I), 4(I)+\cdot(I I), & "(1) & " & 4(3), \\
& " & (2) & " \\
2 .(3) .
\end{array}
$$

The determinant then becomes divisible by $\rho^{3}$, and the columns (3) and (8) and the rows (III) and (VIII) drop out. For the sake of
clearness I will, however, continue to indicate the remaining columns by their original rotation-numbers.
Now we have ${ }^{1}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(l_{1} l_{1}\right)=(l l), & \left(g_{1} g_{1}\right)=(\omega \omega), \\
\left(l_{1} l_{2}\right)=-2(l l), & \left(g_{1} g_{3}\right)=-(\omega \omega), \\
\left(l_{2} l_{2}\right)=4(l l)+\left(l l^{\prime},,\right. & \left(g_{2} g_{2}\right)=(\omega \omega)+\left(\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right), \\
\left(l_{1} g_{2}\right)=(l \omega), & \left(l_{1} g_{2}\right)=-(l \omega), \\
\left(l_{2} g_{1}\right)=-2(l \omega), & \left(l_{2} g_{2}\right)=2(l \omega)+\left(l^{2} \omega^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

By means of these relations the determinant can be still further simplified. We perform the following operations

| To (7) add 2.(6), | From (VI) subtract 2.(VII) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $"(I I),, 2 .(I)$, | $"$ | $(1)$ | $"$ |
| $"(10) "(9)$, | $"$ | $(I X)$ | $"$ |
| $",(V),(I V)$, | $"$ | $(4)$ | $"$ |
| $(5)$. |  |  |  |

If now the remaining rows and columns are rearranged in the following order

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text { 1, } & 2, & 6, & 7, & 4, & 5, & 9, & 10, \\
\text { I, } & \text { II, } & \text { VI, } & \text { VII, } & \text { IV, } & \text { V, } & \text { IX, } & \text { X, }
\end{array}
$$

then the equation becomes

$$
\Delta(\varrho)=\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-\varrho & 0 & (l l) & 0 & 0 & 0 & (l \omega) & 0 \\
0 & -\varrho & 0 & \left(l l^{\prime}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(l \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
A_{11} & A_{12} & -\varrho & 0 & A_{18} & A_{14} & 0 & 0 \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & -\varrho & A_{28} & A_{24} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (l \omega) & 0 & -\varrho & 0 & (\omega \omega) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \left(l^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right) & 0 & -\varrho & 0 & \left(\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
A_{31} & A_{82} & 0 & 0 & A_{33} & A_{34} & -\varrho & 0 \\
A_{41} & A_{42} & 0 & 0 & A_{48} & A_{44} & 0 & -\varrho
\end{array}\right|=0, .(6)
$$

where the meaning of the coefficients is as follows (I mention only those coefficients that will be used below, those omitted all contain $m_{2}$ as a factor):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{11}=K_{1}+4 K_{2} \quad+\text { terms of higher orders } \\
& A_{12}=A_{21}=-2 K_{\mathrm{s}}+\quad, \quad, \quad " \\
& A_{22}=K_{2}+4 K_{8}+\quad, \quad ", \\
& A_{3}=-\left[G_{1} G_{1}\right]+2\left[G_{2} G_{3}\right]-\left[G_{2} G_{2}\right] \\
& A_{34}=A_{48}=-\left[G_{1} G_{2}\right]+\left[G_{2} G_{2}\right] \\
& A_{44}=-\left[G_{2} G_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]
## (695)

The expressions $[p q\rceil$ all contain $m$, as a factor. Thus, in order to derive the term independent of $m_{3}$ in the development of $\rho$, we take all those expressions $=0$. The determinant then becomes divisible by $\varrho^{4}$, and is reduced to its first four columns and rows. Four of the eight roots of our equation thus appear to be divisible by $V m_{2}$. The first terms of the other four are the roots of the equation:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{rrrr}
-\varrho & 0 & s & 0 \\
0 & -\varrho & 0 & s^{\prime} \\
A_{11} & A_{12} & -\varrho & 0 \\
A_{31} & A_{21} & 0 & -\varrho
\end{array}\right|=0,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{4}-\left(A_{11} s+A_{22} s^{\prime}\right) \varrho^{2}+\left(A_{11} A_{22}-A_{12}^{2}\right) s s^{\prime}=0, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have put, for brevity:

$$
(l l)=s, \quad\left(l^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)=s^{\prime} .
$$

The solution can only be stable, if the equation (7) has two real and negative roots. Now $A_{11}$ and $A_{22}$ are negative, and $A_{11} A_{22}-A^{2}{ }_{12}$ is positive. The necessary and sufficient condition that the equation (7) shall have two real and negative roots is therefore, that both $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are positive. Now we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
s=\frac{\mu_{1}}{a_{2}}\left\{A \varepsilon_{1} \cos \alpha-B \varepsilon_{2} \cos (\alpha+\beta)\right\} \\
s^{\prime}=\frac{\mu_{3}}{a_{3}}\left\{A \varepsilon_{2} \cos \alpha^{\prime}-B \varepsilon_{2} \cos \left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{8}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For the six possible combinations we find the signs of $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ as given below

|  | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\alpha^{\prime}$ | $\beta^{\prime}$ | $s$ | $s^{\prime}$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(6)$ | 0 | 0 | $180^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 | $180^{\circ}$ | + |
| $(16)$ | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | - | - | unstable. |
| $(2)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | + |  |
| $(7)$ | 0 | 180 | 181 | 0 | + | 0 |  |
| $(12)$ | 180 | 0 | 180 | 180 | 0 | - | unstable. |
| $(13)$ | 180 | 180 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | unstable. |

Proceedings Royal Acad. Amsterdam. Vol. Xl.

The solutions (16), (12) and (13), i.e. those with a negative value of $x$, are thus certainly unstable. For (2) $s$ will be positive if

$$
A \varepsilon_{1}-B \varepsilon_{2} \geq 0
$$

By using the value of $\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}}$ found above, this leads to the condition

$$
Q<2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{9}} \frac{A^{3}}{B}=7.41
$$

Similarly we find for (7) that $s^{\prime}$ will be positive if

$$
Q>-\frac{1}{2} \frac{B^{2}}{A}=-0.46
$$

For the family consisting of the solutions (2) and (7) we thus find that $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are both positive for all values of $Q$ between the limits -0.46 and +7.41 . For the Jovian system we find $Q=+4.14$.

For the solution (6) both $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are always positive.
This is, however, not sufficient to prove the stability of these solutions. We must also consider the lour remaining roots of our equation (6). To determine these I divide the last two rows and the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ columns of that determinant by $V m_{2}$. Introducing then

$$
\varrho=\varrho^{\prime} V m_{2}, \quad A_{1 \jmath}=m_{2} B_{i j}
$$

the equation becomes
$\Delta\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}-\rho^{\prime} V m_{2} & 0 & (l l) & 0 & 0 & 0 & (l \omega) & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho^{\prime} V m_{2} & 0 & \left(l^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(l^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right) \\ A_{11} & A_{12} & -\rho^{\prime} V m_{2} & 0 & B_{18} V m_{2} & B_{14} V m_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & 0 & -\rho^{\prime} V m_{3} & B_{22} V w_{2} & B_{24} V m_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (l \omega) & 0 & -\rho^{\prime} & 0 & (\omega \omega) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(l^{\prime \prime} \omega^{\prime}\right) & 0 & -\rho^{\prime} & 0 & \left(\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right) \\ B_{81} V m_{2} & B_{32} V m_{2} & 0 & 0 & B_{83} & B_{84} & -\rho^{\prime} & 0 \\ B_{41} V m_{2} & B_{42} V m_{2} & 0 & 0 & B_{48} & B_{44} & 0 & -\rho^{\prime}\end{array}\right|=0$.
If now again we neglect all terms which appear multiplied by $V m_{2}$, and if we perform the operations

$$
\text { From (7) subtract } \frac{(l \omega)}{(l l)} \cdot(3), \quad \text { from }(8) \text { subtract } \frac{\left(l^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)}{\left(l^{\prime} l^{\prime}\right)} \cdot(4)
$$

we find

$$
\Delta\left(\varrho^{\prime}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right| . s s^{\prime} \cdot\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
-\varrho^{\prime} & 0 & \sigma & 0 \\
0 & -\varrho^{\prime} & 0 & \sigma^{\prime} \\
B_{38} & B_{84} & -\varrho^{\prime} & 0 \\
B_{48} & B_{44} & 0 & -\varrho^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where we have put

$$
\sigma=(\omega \omega)-\frac{(l \omega)^{2}}{(l l)}, \quad \sigma^{\prime}=\left(\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\left(l \omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)}{\left(l l^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

We thus find that $\varrho^{\prime}$ is determined by an equation very similar to (7). For the coefficients $B_{i}$, we find easily

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{83}=H_{1}+H_{2} \\
& B_{34}=B_{43}=-H_{2} \\
& B_{44}=H_{2}+H_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}=-\frac{\partial^{2} R^{\prime}}{\partial \Pi_{1}^{3}}=-\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\mu_{1} a_{1} a_{2}} \frac{A}{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}} \cos \alpha \\
& H_{2}=-\frac{\partial^{2} R^{\prime}}{\partial I_{2}^{2}}=\frac{1}{16}\left\{\frac{\mu_{1}}{1} \frac{B}{a_{2}^{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{s_{2}}}{} \cos (\alpha+\beta)-\frac{\mu_{3}}{a_{2} a_{8}} \frac{A}{\varepsilon_{2}^{3}} \cos \alpha^{\prime}\right\} \\
& H_{3}=-\frac{\partial^{2} R^{\prime}}{\partial I_{3}^{2}}=\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\mu_{8} a_{8}^{2}} \frac{B}{\varepsilon_{8}^{3}} \cos \left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the cases (6), (2) and (7), which are the only ones that we need investigate, all these expressions are negative. For $H_{1}$ and $H_{3}$ this is at once evident. For $H_{3}$ we find:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Sol. (6) } & \text { Sol. (2) } & \text { Sol. (7) }
\end{array}
$$

$$
H_{2}=-\frac{P}{16 a^{2} \varepsilon_{2}^{8} \varepsilon_{2}}, \quad-\frac{Q}{16 a^{2} \varepsilon^{8} \varepsilon_{2}}, \quad \frac{Q}{16 a_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \varepsilon^{8} ;}
$$

which is also negative in all three cases. The equation determining the first term of $\varrho^{\prime}$ now becomes
$e^{\prime 4}-\left\{\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right) \sigma+\left(H_{3}+H_{3}\right) \sigma\right\} e^{\prime 2}+\left\{H_{1} \cdot H_{3}+H_{1} \cdot H_{3}+H_{2} \cdot H_{3}\right\} \dot{\sigma} \sigma^{\prime}=0, ~ .(9)$
The condition that this equation shall have two real and negative roots is again that $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are both positive. Now we have

$$
\sigma=(\omega \omega)-\frac{(l \omega)^{2}}{s}, \quad \sigma^{\prime}=\left(\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\left(l^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{s^{\prime}}
$$

It is only necessary to investigate those cases where $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are both positive. The conditions of stability thus become

$$
v^{\prime}(\omega \omega)>(k \omega)^{2}, \quad s^{\prime} .\left(\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)>\left(l^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)^{2} .
$$

The values of $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ have already been given above (8). For the other quantities we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\omega \omega)=\frac{\mu_{2}}{a_{2}}\left\{4 A \varepsilon_{1} \cos \alpha-B \varepsilon_{2} \cos (\alpha+\beta)\right\}, \\
& \left(\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mu_{3}}{a_{8}}\left\{4 A \varepsilon_{2} \cos \alpha^{\prime}-B \varepsilon_{3} \cos \left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
& \left(l \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mu_{1}}{a_{3}}\left\{2 A \varepsilon_{1} \cos \alpha-B \varepsilon_{3} \cos (\alpha+\beta)\right\}, \\
& \left(l \omega^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mu_{3}}{a_{2}}\left\{2 A \varepsilon_{2} \cos \alpha^{\prime}-B \varepsilon_{3} \cos \left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { s. }(\omega \omega) & =\frac{\mu_{1}{ }^{3}}{a_{2}{ }^{3}}\left\{4 A^{3} \varepsilon_{1}{ }^{2}+B^{2} \varepsilon_{3}{ }^{3}-5 A B \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cos \beta\right\}, \\
(\omega \omega)^{n} & =\frac{\mu_{1}{ }^{3}}{a_{3}{ }^{2}}\left\{4 A^{2} \varepsilon_{1}{ }^{3}+B^{2} \varepsilon_{2}{ }^{3}-4 A B \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cos \beta\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\text { s. } \sigma=-\frac{\mu^{2}}{a_{2}^{2}} A B \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cos \beta,
$$

and similarly

$$
s^{\prime} \cdot \sigma^{\prime}=-\frac{\mu_{9}{ }^{2}-}{a_{3}{ }^{2}} A \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \cos \beta^{\prime}
$$

The only stable solutions are thus those in which $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are both $180^{\circ}$, and the only solution which satisfies this condition is ( 6 ). This solution, i.e. the case actually occurring in nature, is thus found to be the only stable periodic solution.

It needs hardly be mentioned that all the proofs given above suppose, that the developinents in powers of $\varepsilon_{l}$ and $m_{l}$ converge so rapidly, that the sign of the various quantities used is determined by their first term. What the upper limits of $\varepsilon_{\imath}$ and $m_{\imath}$ are satisfying this condition, cannot be stated without a special investigation, but nature teaches us, that for the values occurring in the system of Jupiter the solution (6) still exists as a stable solution.

Physics. - "Contribution to the theory of binary mixtures, XIIL." By Prof. J. D. van der Wals.

We have considered the closed curve, discussed in the preceding Contributions, as the projection of the section of two surfaces, viz. $\frac{d^{3} \psi}{d \cdot v^{2}}=0$, and $\frac{d^{2} \psi}{d v^{2}}=0$, constructed on an $x$-axis, a $v$-axis and a $T$. axis. Let the $x$-axis be directed to the right, the $v$-axis to the front and the $T$-axis vertically. The projection of these sections on the other projection planes will now also be a closed curve, in general


[^0]:    1) These solutions are based on the same principle as those investigated by Schwarzschild (Astr. Nachr. 3506). Schwarzschild, however, only considers the case of two planets, one of which has an excentricity, and at the same time an infinitely small mass. Consequently the orbit of the other planet, which is acircle, is not perturbed.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) In the integration by the usual method, this inequality presents itself as a perturbation of the excentricities and pericentres.

    Besides this "great" inequality there are, of course, a number of others, whose arguments are multiples of 7 , which are the same in the two solutions

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The integral of areas still exists, if the compression of the planet is taken into account, provided only the motion takes place in the plane of the equator. Also those terms of the perturbing function which are here used, remain the same. The conclusions_reached below, thus can be applied unaltered to the case of a compressed planel.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ The expressions there given are based on Soullaft's theory. The quantities ${ }_{2}$, which here appear as excentricities, are thus there considered as perturbations, and are called $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{8}$.

[^3]:    1) These formulas suppose $\left(l l^{\prime}\right)=\left(\omega \omega^{\prime}\right)=\left(l^{\prime} \omega\right)=\left(l \omega^{\prime}\right)=0$. This is only true if the thirl and higher orders of $\varepsilon i$ are neglected.
